

### Annex 3: Food for Peace Comprehensive List of Indicators for Title II development food assistance programs

Indicator Number	Program Objective/Result	SPS Location	ID number under SPS location	Indicator Title	R - required/mandatory RiA - required if applicable S - standard	Source	Who collects?	Frequency of collection?	Indicator Type	Data points requested (if more than one data point)	Disaggregation
1	Reduce Food Insecurity in Vulnerable Populations	3.1.9	16	Prevalence of underweight children under five years of age	R	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. percent of children 0-59 months of age in the sample that is underweight b. total population of children 0-59 months of age in zone of influence	Sex: Male, Female
2	Reduce Food Insecurity in Vulnerable Populations	4	16	Prevalence of Poverty: Percent of people living on less than \$1.25/day	R	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. Percentage of people from sample living on <\$1.25/day b. Total population of people in zone of influence	Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)
3	Reduce Food Insecurity in Vulnerable Populations	N/A		Mean Depth of Poverty	R	FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. Mean Depth of Poverty b. Total population of people in zone of influence	

<b>First Level Objective 1: Inclusive Agriculture Sector Growth</b>											
4	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth	4.5	?	Women's Empowerment in Agriculture Index <sup>1</sup>	S	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	impact		None
5	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth	4.5	9	Per capita expenditures (as a proxy for income) of USG targeted beneficiaries	R	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Average per capita expenditures (in USD) of sample b. Total population in zone of influence	Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)
<b>First Level Objective 2: Improved Nutritional Status, Especially of Women and Children</b>											
6	Improved Nutritional Status Especially of Women and Children	3.1.9	11	Prevalence of stunted children under five years of age	R	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. percent of children 0-59 months of age in the sample that is stunted b. total population of children 0-59 months of age in zone of influence	Sex: Male, Female
7	Improved Nutritional Status Especially of Women and Children	3.1.9	13	Prevalence of underweight women	RiA	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. percent of women 15-49 years of age in the sample that is underweight b. total population of women 15-49 years of age in zone of influence	None

<b>IR 1: Improved Agricultural Productivity</b>											
8	Improved Agricultural Productivity	4.5	4	Gross margin per unit of land, kilogram, or animal of selected product (crops/animals selected varies by country)	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	a. Hectares planted (for crops); Number of animals (for milk, eggs); or Area (ha) of ponds or Number of crates (for fish) b. Total Production c. Value of Sales (USD) d. Quantity of Sales e. Purchased input costs	Targeted commodity (type of crop, type of animal, or type of fish – freshwater or marine) Sex of farmer: Male, Female
<b>Sub-Intermediate Result 1.1: Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Sustainable Agriculture Sector Productivity</b>											
9	Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Agricultural Sector Productivity	4.5.2	5	Number of farmers and others who have applied new technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	30	Duration: New, Continuing Sex: Male, Female
10	Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Agricultural Sector Productivity	4.5.2	28	Number of private enterprises (for profit), producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations (CBOs) that applied new technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		Type of organization (see indicator title for principal types) Duration: New, Continuing
11	Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Agricultural Sector Productivity	4.5.2	7	Number of individuals who have received USG supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Type of individual: Producers, People in government, People in private sector firms, People

											in civil society Sex: Male, Female
12	Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Agricultural Sector Productivity	4.5.2	11	Number of food security private enterprises (for profit), producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations (CBOs) receiving USG assistance	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Type of organization (see indicator title for principal types) Duration: New, Continuing
<b>Sub-Intermediate Result 1.2: Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management, and Innovation</b>											
13	Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management and Innovation	N/A		Percentage of farmers who used at least (a project-defined minimum number of) sustainable agriculture (crop/livestock and/or NRM) practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months	RiA	FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of farmers who used financial services (savings, agricultural credit, and/or agricultural insurance) in the past 12 months b. Total number of farmers living in the target geographic area	

14	Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management and Innovation	4.5.2	2	Number of hectares under improved technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		Technology type: crop genetics (including nutritional enhancement), animal genetics, pest management, disease management, soil-related (fertility and conservation, including tillage), irrigation, water management, post-harvest handling and storage, processing, climate mitigation or adaptation, fishing gear/technique, other, total w/one or more improved technology Duration: New, Continuing Sex: Male, Female, Association-applied
----	---	-------	---	--	-----	------------------	-----------------------	----------	---------	--	--

<b>Intermediate Result 2: Expanding Markets and Trade</b>											
15	Expanding Markets and Trade	4.5.2	23	Value of incremental sales (collected at farm-level) attributed to USG implementation	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	a. baseline sales (entered only once) b. reporting year sales (entered annually) c. volume of sales (mt)	Commodity
<b>Sub-Intermediate Result 2.1: Improved Market Efficiency</b>											
16	Improved Market Efficiency	N/A		Percentage of farmers who used improved storage practices in the past 12 months	RiA	FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of farmers who used improved storage practices in the past 12 months b. Total number of farmers living in the target geographic area	
17	Improved Market Efficiency	4.5	10	Total increase in installed storage capacity (m <sup>3</sup> )	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Storage Type: Dry, Cold
18	Improved Market Efficiency	4.5.1	17	Kilometers of roads improved or constructed	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Construction Type: Improved, Constructed (new)
19	Improved Market Efficiency	N/A		Number of market infrastructures rehabilitated and/or constructed	S	FFP Proposed <sup>2</sup>	Implementing Partners	annually	output		

Sub-Intermediate Result 2.2: Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services											
20	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	N/A		Percentage of farmers who used financial services (savings, agricultural credit, and/or agricultural insurance) in the past 12 months	RiA	FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of farmers who used financial services (savings, agricultural credit, and/or agricultural insurance) in the past 12 months b. Total number of farmers living in the target geographic area.	
21	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	N/A		Percentage of farmers who practiced the value chain activities promoted by the project in the past 12 months	RiA	FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of farmers who practiced the value chain activities promoted by the project in the past 12 months b. Total number of farmers living in the target geographic area	
22	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	4.5.2	29	Value of Agricultural and Rural Loans	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Type of loan recipient: Producers, Local traders/assemblers, Wholesalers/processors, Others. Sex of recipient: Male, Female, Joint, n/a
23	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	4.5.2	30	Number of MSMEs, including farmers, receiving USG assistance to access loans	S	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Size: Micro, Small, Medium Sex of owner: Male, Female, Joint, n/a

24	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	4.5.2	37	Number of MSMEs, including farmers, receiving business development services from USG assisted sources	S	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Size: Micro, Small, Medium MSME Type: Agricultural producer, Input supplier, Trader, Output processors, Non-agriculture, Other Sex of owner: Male, Female, Joint, n/a
25	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	N/A		Number of MSMEs, including farmers, receiving USG assistance to access savings programs	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
26	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	N/A		Number of farmers who practiced the value chain activities promoted by the project in the past 12 months	RiA	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
<b>Intermediate Result 3: Increased Investments in Agriculture and Nutrition-Related Activities</b>											
27	Increased Investment in Agriculture and Nutrition-related Activities	4.5.2	12	Number of public-private partnerships formed as a result of USG assistance	S	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Partnership Focus: Agriculture production, Agriculture post-harvest transformation, Nutrition, Multi-focus, Other



Intermediate Result 4: Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households											
28	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	3.1.9.1	3	Prevalence of households with moderate or severe hunger	R	FTF/F Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. percent of households in sample with moderate to severe hunger b. total population of households in zone of influence	Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)
		4.7	4			FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators					
29	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	N/A		Average Household Dietary Score (HDDS)	R	FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome		
30	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	N/A		Number of communities with disaster early warning and response (EWR) systems in place and working effectively	RiA	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
31	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	5.2.1	2	Number of people trained in disaster preparedness as a result of USG assistance	RiA	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
32	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	3.3.3	9	Number of people benefiting from USG-supported social assistance programming	RiA	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		

33	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	3.3.3	15	Number of USG social assistance beneficiaries participating in productive safety nets	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Type of Asset strengthened : Community assets, Human assets/capital, Household assets Duration: New, Continuing Sex: Male, Female
34	Increased resilience of vulnerable communities and households	4.5.2	14	Number of vulnerable households benefiting directly from USG interventions	S	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Duration: New, Continuing Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)

<b>Intermediate Result 5: Improved Access to Diverse and Quality Foods</b>											
35	Improved Access to Diverse and Quality Foods	3.1.9.1	1	Prevalence of children 6-23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet	RiA (MCHN)	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. percent of children 6-23 months in sample receiving a minimum acceptable diet b. total population of children 6-23 months in zone of influence	Sex: Male, Female
36	Improved Access to Diverse and Quality Foods	3.1.9.1	1	Prevalence of children 6-23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet	RiA (MCHN)	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
37	Improved Access to Diverse and Quality Foods	3.1.9.1	2	Women's Dietary Diversity: Mean number of food groups consumed by women of reproductive age	RiA	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Mean number of food groups consumed by women 15-49 years in the sample b. Total population of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in zone of influence	
<b>Intermediate Result 6: Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors</b>											
38	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.9.1	4	Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding of children under six months of age	RiA (MCHN)	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. percent of children 0-5 months of age in sample who are exclusively breast fed b. total population of children 0-5 months of age in zone of influence	Sex: Male, Female

39	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.9.1	4	Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding of children under six months of age	RiA (MCHN)	FTF/F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		Sex: Male, Female
40	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8	33	Percentage of children under age five who had diarrhea in the prior two weeks	RiA (MCHN)	F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of children 0-59 months of age who had diarrhea in the prior two weeks b. Total population of children 0-59 months of age in target geographic area	
41	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8	33	Percentage of children under age five who had diarrhea in the prior two weeks	S	F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
42	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.7	1	Percent of children under five years old with diarrhea treated with Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT)	RiA (MCHN)	F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of children 0-59 months of age with diarrhea treated with Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT) b. Total population of children 0-59 months of age with diarrhea in target geographic area	

43	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.7	1	Percent of children under five years old with diarrhea treated with Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT)	S	F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
44	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8.1	1	Percent of households using an improved drinking water source	RiA	F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of households using an improved drinking water source b. Total number of households living in the target geographic area	
45	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8.2	1	Percent of households using an improved sanitation facility	RiA	F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of households using an improved sanitation facility b. Total number of households living in the target geographic area	
46	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.8	1	Percent of households with soap and water at a handwashing station commonly used by family members	RiA	F Indicators  FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators	Implementing Partners	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of households with soap and water at a handwashing station commonly used by family members b. Total number of households living in the target geographic area	
47	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	N/A		Early initiation of breastfeeding (proportion of children born in the last 24 months who were put to the breast within	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		

				one hour of birth)							
48	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	N/A		Number/percent of carers who reported adding a multiple micronutrient product to child's complementary food (children aged 6-23 months)	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
49	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.8	2	Percent of households in target areas practicing correct use of recommended household water treatment technologies	S	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
50	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	N/A		Percent/number of villages in catchment area that have functioning water systems	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
51	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	N/A		Percent/number of villages in catchment area that hold to regular maintenance schedules for water facilities	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
52	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	N/A		Percent/number of villages in catchment area that hold to regular maintenance schedules for sanitation facilities	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
53	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.8	3	Percent of population in target areas practicing open defecation	S	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
54	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	N/A		Percentage of respondents who know all critical moments for	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		

				handwashing							
55	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8.1	2	Number of people gaining access to an improved drinking water source	RiA	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
56	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8.2	2	Number of people gaining access to an improved sanitation facility	RiA	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
57	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	N/A		Number of persons per latrine	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
58	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8.2	3	Number of improved toilets provided in institutional settings	S	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
59	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.8	5	Number of communities certified as “open defecation free” (ODF) as a result of USG assistance	S	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
<b>Intermediate Result 7: Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services</b>											
60	Improved Utilization of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services			Number/percent of cases of acute malnutrition in children under five (6-59 months) detected and referred for treatment	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
61	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Percent of pregnant and lactating women taking iron supplements in the last 7 days	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
62	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	3.1.6.1	2	Percent of Births receiving at least 4 antenatal care (ANC) visits during pregnancy	S	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		

63	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Percent of children 12-23 months who are fully immunized	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
64	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	3.1.3.2	6	Proportion of children under 5 years old who slept under an insecticide-treated net (ITN) the previous night	S	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome		
65	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Number/percent participation of under 2s in community-based growth promotion	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	output/outcome		
66	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Number/percent women receiving postpartum family planning counseling	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	output/outcome		
67	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Client-CHW ratio	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
68	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	3.1.9	1	Number of people trained in child health and nutrition through USG-supported programs	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Sex: Male, Female
69	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	3.1.9	15	Number of children under five reached by USG-supported nutrition programs	RiA	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Sex: Male, Female
70	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Number of mothers who received a high-dose vitamin A supplement before their infant reached 6 weeks of age	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	output		



71	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	3.1.9.2	3	Number of children under five years of age who received vitamin A from USG-supported programs	S	FTF/F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		Location: Urban, Rural Sex: Male, Female
73	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Number of insecticide treated bed-nets distributed	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
74	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	3.1.7.1	4	Number of additional USG-assisted community health workers (CHWs) providing family planning (FP) information and/or services during the year	S	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
<b>Cross-Cutting/Gender</b>											
75	Cross-Cutting/Gender	GNDR	3	Proportion of females who report increased self-efficacy at the conclusion of USG supported training/programming	RiA	F Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome		
76	Cross-Cutting/Gender	GNDR	4	Proportion of target population reporting increased agreement with the concept that males and females should have equal access to social, economic, and political opportunities	RiA	F Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome		
77	Cross-Cutting/Gender	GNDR	7	Percentage of target population that views Gender-Based Violence (GBV) as less acceptable after participating in or being exposed to USG	RiA	F Indicators	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome		

				programming							
78	Cross-Cutting/Gender	GNDR	2	Proportion of female participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources (assets, credit, income or employment)	R	F Indicators	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
<sup>1</sup> This indicator is still under discussion by FFP and will not be collected in the current program year by the majority of FFP programs.											
<sup>2</sup> FFP proposed indicators were based on a review of a wide range of indicators from other sources, including indicators developed by other multilateral organizations and implementing partners. For a full list of sources, please contact FFP.											

Key: Indicators classified as R (required/mandatory) are required for all development programs.  
Indicators classified as RiA (required if applicable) are required for all development programs that have interventions in that given program area.  
Indicators classified as RiA (MCHN) are required for all development programs with maternal-child health and nutrition activities.  
Indicators classified as S (standard) are optional, but if program plans to collect data in that area, it is recommended to use the standard indicator.

List of Gender Indicators for Title II development food assistance programs

#	Program Objective/Result	Indicator Title	R - required/ mandatory RiA - required if applicable S - standard	Source	Who collects?	Frequency of collection?	Indicator Type	Disaggregation
<b>Gender (Cross-Cutting or Strategic Objective)</b>								
<b>Measurement Area 1: Access to and Control over Resources and Economic Empowerment</b>								
1	Improved access to and control over: assets/resources income (own and household)	<i>Ownership of assets</i> Percentage of men and women owning productive capital (e.g., livestock, poultry, farm equipment) <sup>2</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [Women's Empowerment in Agriculture Index (WEAI) Module G3] <sup>1</sup>	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
2		<i>Purchase, sale, or transfer of assets</i> Percentage of men and women reporting the ability to dispose of productive capital (e.g., livestock, poultry, farm equipment) <sup>2</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [WEAI Module G3]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
3		<i>Access to and control over credit</i> Percentage of men and women with access to credit <sup>2</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [WEAI Module G3]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female

4		<i>Access to and control over credit</i> Percentage of men and women reporting control over credit <sup>2</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [WEAI Module G3]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
5		<i>Access to income</i> Percentage of men and women that earn cash	S	FFP Proposed [Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS)]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
6		<i>Access to income</i> Percentage of women reporting their earnings to be about the same as that of their husband/partner	S	FFP Proposed [DHS]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
7		<i>Control over income</i> Percentage of men and women reporting control over use of own income	S	FFP Proposed [DHS]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
8		<i>Control over income</i> Percentage of men and women reporting control over use of household income	S	FFP Proposed [DHS]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
9	Improved access to risk and early warning information	Percentage of men and women reporting receiving risk and early warning information from source (e.g., media, peer to peer) <sup>3</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [Needs to be validated]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
10	Improved couple communication on disaster risk reduction or evacuation plans	Percentage of men and women reporting having discussed evacuation plan with spouse <sup>3</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [Needs to be validated]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
<b>Measurement Area 2: Decision Making</b>								

11	Improved household decision-making power among women	Percentage of women who report making household decisions <sup>4</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [DHS]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Female
<b>Measurement Area 3: Male Involvement and Shared Responsibility</b>								
12	Improved knowledge of optimal maternal and young child health and nutrition behaviors <sup>5</sup> among men	Percentage of men that have knowledge of optimal maternal and young child health and nutrition behaviors	S	FFP Proposed [LINKAGES project surveys]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male
13		Percentage of men with knowledge of what they can do to support their spouse/partner to practice optimal maternal and young child health and nutrition behaviors	S	FFP Proposed [LINKAGES project surveys]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male
14		Percentage of men and women reporting that they have communicated with their spouse at least once in the past 12 months on optimal maternal and young child health and nutrition behaviors <sup>3</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [adaptation of DHS, needs to be validated]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
15	Improved support by men to implement optimal maternal and young child health and nutrition behaviors <sup>5</sup>	Percentage of men accompanying their partner to an antenatal care visit	S	FFP Proposed [DHS]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male

16	Improved participation by men in antenatal care and children's health visits	Percentage of men accompanying their partner to a child health visit <sup>3</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [adaptation of DHS, needs to be validated]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male
17	Increased leisure time among women (proxy for improved participation by men in child care and household chores)	Percentage of men and women reporting having leisure time (0, 1-2, 3-4, 5+ hours per day) <sup>3</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [Needs to be validated]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
18	Joint health-seeking decisions among couples around	Percentage of men and women reporting that they make joint decisions around the health of women	S	FFP Proposed [DHS, IMAGES survey]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
19	maternal and child health and nutrition	Percentage of men and women reporting that they make joint decisions around the health of their child	S	FFP Proposed [DHS, IMAGES survey]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
<b>Measurement Area 4: Mobility</b>								
20	Improved physical mobility among women	Percentage of women reporting going to the market on their own <sup>6</sup>	S	FFP Proposed [DHS]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Female
<b>Measurement Area 5: Gender Dynamics and Relations</b>								

21	Improved gender-related norms and attitudes	Percentage of men and women agreeing completely with selected statements about gender norms and roles	S	FFP Proposed [WS31 in the DHS Women's Status Module] OR [Domestic Chores and Daily Life Sub-Scale of Gender Equitable Scale (GEM)]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
22		Proportion of target population reporting increased agreement with the concept that males and females should have equal access to social, economic, and political opportunities	RiA	F indicator	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
23	Lower acceptance of gender-based violence (GBV)	Proportion of target population reporting increased agreement with the concept that males and females should have equal access to social, economic, and political opportunities	RiA	F indicator	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
24		Percentage of target population that views GBV as less acceptable after participating in or being exposed to USG programming	RiA	F indicator	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
25	Reduced levels of GBV	Percentage of women and men that have experienced physical/emotional/sexual violence by current spouse/partner	S	FFP Proposed [DHS Domestic Violence Module]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Male, Female
<b>Other Descriptors: The following indicators are important to provide a more complete picture of the context in which the Title II development food aid program is operating, from a gender perspective.</b>								
26	Increase in age of marriage	Age at first marriage	S	FFP Proposed [DHS]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Female

27	Delayed first pregnancy	Median age at first birth for women 15–49, according to current age	S	FFP Proposed [DHS]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Female
28	Improved self-efficacy among women	Mean perceived self-efficacy score	S	FFP Proposed [Judge TT et al, 1998]	M&E Contractor	Baseline and Final Evaluation	Outcome	Sex: Female
29		Proportion of females that report increased self-efficacy at the conclusion of USG-supported training/programming	RiA	F Indicator	Implementing Partner	Annually	Outcome	Sex: Female
30	Cross-Cutting/Gender	Proportion of female participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources (assets, credit, income, or employment)	R	F Indicator	Implementing Partner	Annually	Output	Sex: Female

<sup>1</sup>The Women's Empowerment In Agriculture Index (WEAI) is still under discussion by USAID/FFP and will not be collected in the current program year by the majority of USAID/FFP programs.

<sup>2</sup>This FFP proposed indicator is a sub-index/scale of WEAI, and will require validation to be used as a sub-scale before it can be adopted.

<sup>3</sup>This FFP proposed indicator will require validation.

<sup>4</sup>The battery of questions will include decisions around women's health, child's health, women's income, major household purchases, daily household needs, and visits to family or relatives.

<sup>5</sup>These behaviors include early initiation of breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding among children under 6 months, continued breastfeeding at 1 year, introduction of complementary foods at 6–9 months, feeding during illness, and more rest during pregnancy.

<sup>6</sup>Women's Status Module asks about six different places including the market; of the six places, the market is probably furthest from women's places of residence.

**Key:** "FFP Proposed" indicators were based on a review of a wide range of indicators from other sources, including primarily the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) and other implementing organizations. For a full list of sources, please contact USAID/FFP.

Indicators classified as R (required/mandatory) are required for all development programs.

Indicators classified as RiA (required if applicable) are required for all development programs that have interventions in that given program area.

Indicators classified as S (standard) are optional, but if program plans to collect data in that area, it is recommended to use the standard indicator.



## List of Environmental Indicators for Title II development food assistance programs

#	Program Objective/Result	Indicator Title	R - required/ mandatory RiA - required if applicable S - standard	Source	Who collects?	Frequency of collection?	Indicator Type	Data points requested (if more than one data point)	Disaggregation
<b>IR 1: Improved Agricultural Productivity</b>									
XX	Improved Agricultural Productivity	% of seedlings as part of agroforestry systems surviving each year after planting	RiA	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	a. Initial number of seedlings planted; Number of survivors each year.  Targeted survival rates will be variable depending on scale and must be project-defined.	
<b>Sub-Intermediate Result 1.1: Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Sustainable Agriculture Sector Productivity</b>									
xx	Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Agricultural Sector Productivity	Number of farmers trained in safer use of pesticides	RiA	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	Annually	output		
<b>Sub-Intermediate Result 2.1: Improved Market Efficiency</b>									

19	Improved Market Efficiency	Number of market infrastructures rehabilitated and/or constructed according to a site-specific environmental management plan	S	FFP Proposed2	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
<b>Intermediate Result 7: Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services</b>									
XX	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	Amount of fuel or time saved by beneficiaries using improved energy efficient cookstoves	RiA	FFP Proposed	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome		
XX	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	Number of people trained in the use and proper disposal of medical equipment and consumables	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
XX	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	Number of people benefiting from solid waste management, drainage, and/or vector control activities (without double-counting)	S	FFP Proposed	Implementing Partners	annually	output		
<b>Cross-Cutting/Gender</b>									
79	Cross-Cutting/ Environment	Proportion of target population reporting agreement that environmental resources are managed more sustainably as a result of USG assistance (e.g., soil conservation, reforestation, watershed management, water availability, water-borne vector management)	RiA	FFP Proposed	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome		Sex: Men and Women

80	Cross-Cutting/ Environment	Proportion of target population reporting agreement that environmental hygiene in the community has improved as a result of USG assistance (e.g., medical waste management, water quality, indoor air quality, solid and sanitary waste management)	RiA	FFP Proposed	M&E Contractor	baseline and final evaluation	outcome		Sex: Men and Women
----	-------------------------------	---	-----	--------------	----------------	-------------------------------	---------	--	--------------------